UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED
FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)	DOC #:
Plaintiffs/Appellants	DATE FILED: 3/6/2020
- against -	: Appeal No. 19-CV-3911 (VSB)
CITIBANK NA LONDON,	: : <u>ORDER</u>
Defendant/Appellee.	: .:
	: X
In re:	: No. 10-13164 (SMB)
FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED, et al.,	: (Jointly Administered) :
Debtors in Foreign Proceedings	
FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)	: :
Plaintiffs/Appellants	; ;;
- against -	: Appeal No. 19-05135 (VSB)
ZURICH CAPITAL MARKETS COMPANY, et al.,	÷ ÷
Defendant/Appellee.	
FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)	X : :
Plaintiffs/Appellants	; ;;
- against -	:
FS/CBESSA a/k/a BANQUE PRIVEE ESPIRITO SANTO SA f/k/a COMPANGIE BANCAIR ESP[IRITO SANTO SA, et al.,	Appeal No. 19-04365 (VSB)
Defendant/Appellee.	: . :
	X

VERNON S. BRODERICK, United States District Judge:

I am in receipt of the law firm Allegaert, Berger & Vogel LLP's ("Counsel") motion to

withdraw as counsel filed on February 12, 2020, and the supporting materials. (Docs. 31–33.)

It is ORDERED that Counsel's motion to withdraw is held in abeyance for further

consideration. Counsel is directed to respond to the following inquiries on or before March 13,

2020:

What efforts have been made by Counsel to secure replacement counsel for the client?

Has Counsel made the client aware that as a corporate entity it cannot represent itself in

this matter?

How will Counsel's withdrawal impact the joint briefing of the motion appealing the

decision of the Bankruptcy Court?

How will Counsel be prejudiced by remaining in the case either through the end of

briefing, or through a decision/determination by the court on the motion appealing the

decision of the Bankruptcy Court?

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 6, 2020

New York, New York

Vernon S. Broderick

United States District Judge